Ear to the grounds

23 February 2017

Christopher Sullivan examines the grounds on which surveyors will be called in to help contest lease renewals

Contested lease renewals all but disappeared during the lastrecession, as landlords were avoiding the kinds of redevelopment that wouldnecessitate tenants leaving at lease expiry or renewal and thus prompt disputes. However, with today?s tenants eager to remain in situ at abelow-market rent and landlords seeking to extract greater value, contested renewals are now on the increase.

Dealing with contested lease renewals is a highlyspecialised area, but there are clear avenues of work for building surveyors with dilapidations expertise and project management skills.

Statutory framework

Under section 30(1) of <u>the Landlord and TenantAct 1954</u>, there are a number of grounds on which a landlord may oppose arequest for a new lease by a tenant:

- a. failure to repair;
- b. persistent arrears;
- c. other reasons or breaches;
- d. suitable alternative accommodation;
- e. current tenancy created by subletting;
- f. demolition and reconstruction;
- g. own occupation.

It is fairly unusual for building surveyors to become involved other than when grounds a or f are invoked, but there are of course exceptions.

Failure to repair

If this is the ground for a contest, building surveyors arerequired to appraise the physical condition of the holding, setting outremedies and their associated costs. This ground is broadly akin to a form of interim dilapidations claim, or forfeiture, though it is not governed in the same way because there is no guidance note to follow. In interim dilapidationsclaims, the surveyor would simply prepare a list of defects and wants of repair: essentially, they have to produce a fully populated and costed <u>ScottSchedule</u>.

There is little point in contesting a lease renewal ontrivial defects, as the focus should only be on very significant breaches of covenant. Major faults with mechanical and engineering services, waterpenetration or structural damage, for instance, are very difficult to ignore ordefend.

The key is to record the best available evidence and presentit in a logical manner, so thorough site notes and quality photographs are essential. Specialist testing ? for example, mechanical and engineeringvalidation ? may also be required.

Most claims are settled well in advance of courtproceedings, but if the dispute remains then the surveyor must be prepared forthat possibility. Disrepair issues and arguments will be at the forefront here? the domain of the dilapidations specialist. It is always possible that,before a hearing, the tenant finally complies and brings the property back into a state of repair, so while the landlord will not then get their building backit will at least brought up to a decent standard.

Demolition and reconstruction

Under section 30(1)(f) of the 1954 act, a landlord mayoppose an application for a new tenancy on the ground ?that on the termination of the current tenancy the landlord intends to demolish or reconstruct theoremises comprised in the holding or a substantial part of those premises or tocarry out substantial work of construction on the holding or part thereof and[they] could not reasonably do so without having obtained possession of theholding?.

Where it is clear that the whole or a substantial part of the premises are to be demolished and/or rebuilt, there is usually littleargument to be had: the difficulties lie where the landlord?s plans are perceived as being more marginal.

The building surveyor is responsible for preparing an expertreport, advising on:

- the extent of the proposed works ? commenting on how?substantial? they are and whether the works amount to construction ordemolition;
- whether possession is reasonably necessary to undertake theworks.

In the absence of statutory definitions of ?construction? or?reconstruction?, ?demolition?, ?substantial? and ?intent?, the surveyor mustturn to case law. The works need not be structural to qualify, however, although it often helps in demonstrating that they are significant.

There are typically sensitivities about the extent of theholding, what works are to be assessed as relevant, bearing in mind thatobligatory repair works are not to be assessed; and the landlord?s motives and intentions, which will require the involvement of a legal advisor.

Caution

A major difficulty for surveyors will be the stage at whichthey are brought into the process. Often it is well under way, with planningpermission in place and a pr?cis of work and financial s gn-off agreed.Conversely, detailed specifications are rarely drawn up at the time o appointment, which can lead to a lack of clarity.

Surveyors taking on contested lease renewal instructionsmust therefore ensure they are well briefed and thoroughly understand the legalnuances. Otherwise, they will run the risk of an embarrassing grilling in thewitness box.

Christopher Sullivan is a partner at Malcolm Hollis

Further information

- Related competencies include Legal/regulatorycompliance .
- This feature is taken from the RICS *Building surveyingjournal* (December 2016/January 2017).